UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,528	12/02/2004	Josef Beden	24948-0002US1 / 4488 P70195US0	
<sup>26161</sup> FISH & RICH <i>A</i>	7590 04/30/200 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022		CECIL, TERRY K		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/516,528	BEDEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mr. Terry K. Cecil	1797
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired to the second	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 F      This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,29 and 31-62 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,29 and 31-55 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 56-62 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the option of the specific states are considered.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/516,528 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following:

• in line 16, "a" is missing before "chamber".

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 56-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Westberg et al. (U.S. 7,195,607). It is noted that these claims are not in combination with a liquid treatment machine, which is an intended use, as well as the claimed positioning of the cassettes. Westberg teaches at least two cassettes (figure 7 and figure 35) each having unique portions including chambers and regions without chambers [as in claim 56]. The cassettes can be disposed of and can be used for different treatments [as in claims 57-60]. Both also include recesses that could be used to center [as in claim 61].

Application/Control Number: 10/516,528 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 62 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westberg in view of Robinson et al. (U.S. 6,695,803). Robinson teaches cassettes that include a bar code (col. 13, lines 66+). It is considered that it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have the barcodes of Robinson on the cassettes of Westberg, since Robinson teaches the benefit of identifying the correct blood processing procedure for that cassette.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-15, 29 and 31-55 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

  The closest cited art—fails to anticipate or render obvious, alone or in any proper combination,
  the liquid treatment machine in combination with the claimed first and second cassettes, wherein

the liquid treatment machine is adapted so that the first actuator and not the second actuator is operated when the first cassette is disposed in the compartment of the machine and both the first and second actuators are operated when the second cassette is disposed in the compartment of the machine—in combination with all the other limitations of claims 1 and also in claim 38.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Terry K. Cecil whose telephone number is (571) 272-1138. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00a-4:30p M-F..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Sample can be reached on (571) 272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mr. Terry K. Cecil/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797